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FOREWORD

This exhibition of work done under the Federal Art Project of the Works Progress Administration is intended to serve as a visual report to the public: a documented survey of one year's activity. In certain respects it is of necessity incomplete. Murals, decorative sculpture and various other types of work produced on the Project are unsuited to museum presentation. A great deal of work worthy of inclusion could not be shown because of lack of space. Despite these limitations, the exhibition can justly be called comprehensive. The material, assembled from every section of the United States, has been selected by the director of the exhibition for its artistic value alone, no effort being made to consider it from a regional aspect. Taken as a whole, apart from its interest as an index of individual talents, it reveals certain major trends in contemporary American art.

The Museum acknowledges with thanks the invaluable assistance of Miss Constance Rourke and Mr. Holger Cahill, National Director of the Federal Art Project, in the preparation of the catalog.

ALFRED H. BARR, JR.
NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

When the long view of American art is taken it becomes clear that the American artist has rarely had a full and free relationship with a public or with his own time. The cleavage has become increasingly apparent under the stress of social and economic uncertainties which have faced the American artist sharply since the middle of the nineteenth century, and which have faced him with tragic immediacy in recent years.

American art has always been extremely sensitive to cosmopolitan ideas, and throughout its history has responded to rapid waves of influence from abroad, but the breaks and changes of which one is so conscious in art have not been due simply to alterations in European styles or techniques and our response to them. Far more deeply they are the result of disturbances in the relationship between the artist and his public. Changes in art theories, in styles and techniques, draw more force from the social environment than most art historians are willing to admit. The course of social change, accelerated at certain periods, retarded at others, has always been registered in art. In the recent past there has been a great deal of talk about new forms, but the artist has always made use of whatever forms are available, modifying and altering them to meet new situations. Art is a normal social growth deeply rooted in the life of mankind and extremely sensitive to the environments created by human society.

There is a theory that art always somehow takes care of itself, as if it were a rootless plant feeding upon itself in sequestered places. Many people are willing to believe, in a time like this, when art patronage has dwindled to infinitesimal proportions, that it is not necessary for organized society to do anything in particular, because no matter what happens, a few artists starving in garrets will see to it that art does not die. It is quite obvious that this theory will not hold. Consider the case of Colonial and early Republican portrait painting. Looking at the work of men like Feke, Copley, Earl, and Stuart, one might assume that the excellence of early American portraiture is due simply to the fortunate fact that these talented men happened to be alive. What would have become of these talents if the landed aristocracy and the rich merchants had not been equal to the
event, if there had been no sound tradition of art patronage, no social interest in portraiture and no active demand for it? The answer is supplied by history.

Social and economic changes early in the nineteenth century put an end to the greatest portrait school this country has produced. When the landed aristocracy which had dominated the eighteenth century was superseded by the beneficiaries of the industrial order portrait painting declined. And as photography came into general use toward the middle of the nineteenth century—along with the general spread of the print—our great portrait tradition entered a twilight zone from which it could not be rescued, not even by the prosaic honesty of Eastman Johnson, the incorruptible integrity of Thomas Eakins, the technical skill of Duveneck, or the extraordinary virtuosity of Sargent.

During the latter half of the eighteenth century and the first decades of the nineteenth, public appreciation of art was dominated by the Colonial tradition of good taste which in its latter phases tended to make of American art no more than a tasteful resume of earlier European practice. Yet, during this long era, native strains definitely appeared. The period between 1820 and 1870 was far more provincial—and so in a sense more genuinely American—than the eighteenth century had been. Into the cultural life of the eastern seaboard came the upsetting spirit of frontier democracy, brushing aside in many localities the earlier, more aristocratic tradition and creating a new homespun quality in American art. One might say that American art was renewing itself through new contacts with the American earth and the American people. The frontier was unfolding; population was growing rapidly under the stimulus of industrialism. It was the day of Andrew Jackson and Davy Crockett, of frontier democracy and the rise of the common man. It was also the great period of folk and popular art. The level of taste drifted toward the provincial-popular.

ART AND THE COMMON MAN

The interests of the common man began definitely to shape American art in this period, particularly through the medium of the print. Perfection of new processes of printing and engraving resulted in hundreds of publi-
cations, which in turn furnished a market for illustrators who could depict the American scene and American racial and social types. Prints could easily be circulated throughout a large country in which the means of transportation were still limited. Even the backwoodsman often possessed almanacs in which woodcuts and line engravings appeared. Colored lithographs, such as those of Currier and Ives, appeared everywhere. Prints exerted an influence not only upon the popular appreciation of art but also upon the ideas and techniques of artists.

Further, in the paintings of the popular scene, in the landscapes of the Hudson River school, and in the panoramas of the painters of spectacular landscapes, the public found an art which had a genuine use. It formulated and stimulated ideas or sentiments concerning people and places, which were of value in the social and political development of the nation. With the exception of certain Europe-trained painters and a group of sculptors who were busied with Italianate imitations, most of the artists of this period were thus in harmony with dominant interests of their age. If their taste was not always of the best, it was an honest taste, a genuine reflection of community interests and of community experience.

LOSS OF SOCIAL CONTEXT

After the Civil War the picture changed completely, and American art began to be subjected to disintegrating forces which have been active up to our own generation. The rapid expansion of industrialism made for the dominance of social groups which had no tradition of art patronage and little interest in art except as it might serve as the badge of a newly-acquired social distinction or as an object of conspicuous display. Roger Fry and other writers have observed that whenever a situation of this sort arises its associated phenomenon is usually that of extreme vulgarity in taste. After the Civil War the new generation of art patrons demanded the grandiose, the vulgar, the spectacular, the over-embellished, and the over-genteel—this last as a means of obliterating their crude beginnings. Archæology and astute dealers supplied them with art objects which had somehow been associated with grandeur in the past and which were still associated with the taste of the socially powerful in Europe. The American
patron turned to esthetic fragments torn from their social background but
trailing clouds of aristocratic glory.

The serious result of this wasteful showiness was less the spread of
vulgarity than the dislocation of art in this period from its social context.
In a society with such aims there was little place for the creative artist whose
concern was with the expression of human experience. Faced with social
indifference and dissatisfied with the techniques and ideals of their craft as
they were then practiced in this country, American artists were compelled
to seek a healthier environment elsewhere, and often sought this in Europe.
The question of Henry James, “Is one’s only safety, then, in flight?” was
answered in the affirmative by many American artists. Whistler, Mary
Cassatt and a host of others fled to Europe. In America, Albert Pinkham
Ryder drew about him the cloak of the solitary; Thomas Eakins suffered
almost complete isolation and neglect; and Winslow Homer, one of the
few major artists who found public support in his generation, in the end
withdrew from it.

CHANGING CONCEPT OF NATURE

The first really serious breach between the American artist and his
public had occurred. This breach gradually widened to the point where
the artist virtually lost contact with his social environment, and his plight
became more difficult because he was influenced by profound changes,
taking place in the latter years of the century, as to concepts of nature and
its relation to art. Throughout most of the eighteenth century and well
into the nineteenth the concept of nature had served as a unifying element
in literature as well as in the fine arts. Nature had been conceived as a prin-
ciple underlying the forms and phenomena of the visual world, drawing
them into a harmonious and purposive whole, benevolent and somehow
friendly to man’s interests and ideals. Art had been conceived as a harmony
dependent upon the harmony of nature.

Thus for a long period nature provided a satisfying relationship for the
artist, giving him a sense of continuity and completion. This conception
of nature as a unifying force is implicit in the painting of the Hudson River
school and in the work of such men as Ryder, Homer, and Inness. But these
were the last American painters of major stature in whose work appears any measurable echo of this romantic conception. In their later years, toward the end of the nineteenth century, art in this country was swept by a movement which meant a radical alteration in basic attitudes. This was French Impressionism. Recognition of the fact that a conception of nature was no longer a unifying element in art was implicit in Impressionist methods. Nature was no longer a harmony to be studied. It became something that furnished occasions for the exercise of a technique. The new attitude was carried to much greater length by the Post-Impressionists and was explicitly stated by Paul Cézanne, who said that “art is a harmony paralleling that of nature.” Art, in other words, had its own harmony, independent of nature. This idea was carried to its final term by the Cubists who declared that art need have no frame of reference in nature at all. The relationship with nature, which had given the artist a creative impetus for upward of two hundred years, thus tended to disappear.

THE ISOLATION OF ART

Since the human element had already been banished there was nowhere for the artist to turn but to art itself. In content and idea, as well as in style and technique, the source and the center, the unifying element for art was henceforth to be art. From the close of the nineteenth century to the very recent past art has been feeding on itself.

This tendency did not mean simply a perverse insistence that art should be created only for art’s sake. It was a recognition by artists that they no longer had deep roots in nature or in human society. The situation was not of their own making. It was part of a general pattern of dissolving loyalties and relationships brought on by the rapid advance of industrial civilization and by the break-up of romantic conceptions under the impact of modern science.

In a fashion it was a reflection of the good sense of artists that they refused to wander in a void, brooding upon their loneliness and isolation. They had made a fresh move. By a natural transition they turned back to historical sources and explored European traditions, studying these in the light of Oriental and primitive art. In Baroque, Renaissance, Medieval,
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Byzantine, Greek, Egyptian, Persian, Hindu, Chinese, Japanese, African, Polynesian, and ancient American art they discovered an extraordinary repertory of modes. Their main concern was with design, structure, organization, the architectonic and abstract bases of art. Emphasis upon abstraction carried some of them to the point where they handled natural forms as one might handle building blocks. In an endeavor to recover emotion in art, and because of dissatisfaction with contemporary society, others followed a personal exoticism which ranged through time and space in search of ideal environments.

From the opening of the World War up to the 1920’s the dominant tendency in art was in the direction of the segregated, the precious, the difficult. An amazing technical equipment was developed, with a polyglot stylistic language often devoted to abstruse statement and consciously cultivated incomprehensibility. Art had become its own subject, and an understanding of its self-communicated mysteries was to be limited to a few initiates. On the credit side of this movement must be placed the really profound research which led to the recovery of a usable past, to firmer conceptions of the possibilities and limitations of the artists’ media, and to truer perspectives on the Western tradition of design. On the debit side, however, one may say that this wide-ranging exploration was tending toward a point where art, to paraphrase a line of Coleridge, by its abstruse research was stealing from its own nature all that was natural. The contemporary artist, having lost both nature and man, now seemed determined to lose art itself in the theoretical mazes conjured up by his own ingenuity.

THE REDISCOVERY OF THE AMERICAN SCENE

All through the period there had, of course, been insurgent trends, since in the history of art widely differing traditions may go on side by side. In nineteenth century America there were Winslow Homer and Thomas Eakins, who responded to the inspiration of the country, and whose subject matter had a genuine relation to its experience. In the opening years of the twentieth century, seventy years after the incursion of frontier democracy into American art, a clear return to the interests of the average man was evident in the work of the group of Philadelphia artists, including
such men as John Sloan and George Luks, and, later, George Bellows and others. These artists rediscovered the American scene and brought the gusty vitality of city streets into the staid salons of the genteel tradition. It is an indication of the insecurity of American taste and of the influence of the genteel tradition upon American criticism that when these men appeared before the public in the opening decade of the twentieth century they were hailed as the “ash can school.”

None the less, strong currents toward an art of native social meaning were flowing in this country. These tendencies were definite: yet it remains true that never in all its history has American art been so dependent upon Europe as in the past generation. The American collector, realizing this, and stimulated by highly intelligent sales propaganda, preferred to patronize the European article. This had also been true in the Gilded Age. Then, art had been an object of conspicuous, somewhat gaudy display. Now art became an object of subtle and sophisticated display. Aside from the patrons of a becalmed genteel tradition, the audience for American art was becoming smaller and smaller; it included only a few museums and a select group of private collectors. During the middle 1920’s there was an art boom of respectable proportions associated with the stock market boom in those years, but in this the American artist shared hardly at all. He had become a stepchild in his own country. All his efforts to conform to contemporary European practice and to American admiration of this had really pleased nobody—perhaps least of all himself.

Yet toward the end of the ’twenties, for a brief year or two, it looked as if his long lane had reached a happy turning. A minor boom in American art developed, stimulated by the pioneer efforts of a number of critics, dealers, and museum directors who had worked for American art during its lean years. It was discovered that the work of American artists was worth buying—that in fact it might even have an investment value.

More than once in the past, an American art which had a definite relation to the public had enjoyed a brief prosperity. What happened to the flurry of the late ’twenties may be stated in three words. The depression came. American artists faced the prospect of want, idleness, and the inevitable loss of skill. It became clear that unless the organized community
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stepped into the situation American art would enter a dark age from which it might not recover for generations. It was to meet this situation that the United States Government established a series of art projects.

THE FEDERAL ART PROJECT

The Federal Art Project, which is represented in this exhibition, was set up in August, 1935, by Harry L. Hopkins, Administrator of the Works Progress Administration. This Project has thus been functioning for a little more than a year. It took over a number of small state projects operating under the Federal Emergency Relief Administration and unified them under a national program. Most of these state projects had developed under the Public Works of Art Project, established by Mr. Hopkins as part of the Civil Works Administration program in December, 1933. This earlier project, known popularly as PWAP, was administered by the Treasury Department under the direction of Edward Bruce. It came to an end in June, 1934.

When the present Federal Art Project was organized, about one thousand of the original thirty-five hundred artists who had worked under PWAP were employed on various undertakings in several states. The number of artists on relief was approximately four thousand, and it was clear that something like fifteen hundred or two thousand others, not yet on relief, were living on the margin of subsistence. Today, approximately fifty-three hundred artists are working on the Federal Art Project, which is directed by a small staff in Washington. Artists, museum directors, and art teachers in public schools, colleges, and universities have been chosen to act as advisers in the field and as state or regional directors. Others from the same professional groups are acting on voluntary committees, and have been of the greatest service in stimulating local interest in the art program and in helping to maintain high standards of excellence.

ART AND GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

Because of the many activities undertaken by the Federal Art Project, the United States Government has become the greatest art patron in the world. The number of artists engaged is not large when the size of the
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population and the area of this country from coast to coast are considered; and government support for art is no new phenomenon. Governments in every age and in every part of the world have employed artists. Egypt, Greece, Rome, many of the city and provincial governments of medieval and modern Europe, governments in China and other Oriental countries, as well as the ancient civilizations which flourished on the American continent, may be cited as examples. The great building program in Athens under Pericles, which left an imperishable record of Greek civilization, employed large numbers of artists, artisans, and craftsmen on government projects.

In our own time, the French government has long had a liberal policy of encouraging art and public education in art, as have Italy, Germany, Russia, and other European countries. In Sweden a finely planned art program has been established, leading to an outstanding development of the industrial arts in that country. Government support of art was undertaken in a striking fashion in the 1920’s by the Republic of Mexico. A group of Mexican painters was commissioned to paint murals for public buildings under the direction of the Ministry of Education. From the work of that group came an art movement which spread through the country and far across its borders, carrying the fame of Mexico to every part of the world.

CONSERVATION OF SKILLS

Conservation of the nation’s resources has in our time become a major function of government. Under the Federal Art Project the conservation of artistic skills and talents has been a primary problem. It was a vital necessity that all types of talent should find forms of expression suited to their special aptitudes and abilities. It is a mistake to suppose that all of the large body of artists working on the Project were in normal times devoted to the fine arts or that they have all been set to work indiscriminately under the Project on easel paintings, murals, or sculpture. Slightly less than one half of the total number employed are working in the fine arts. The others are craftsmen, workers in commercial and applied art, and in some cases are what might be called journeymen painters or sculptors of useful if not outstanding talent.
The organization of the Project has proceeded on the principle that it is not the solitary genius but a sound general movement which maintains art as a vital, functioning part of any cultural scheme. Art is not a matter of rare, occasional masterpieces. The emphasis upon masterpieces is a nineteenth century phenomenon. It is primarily a collector’s idea and has little relation to an art movement. When one goes through the galleries of Europe which preserve, in spite of war, fire, flood, and other destructive forces, an amazing quantity of works from the past, one is struck by the extraordinary amount of work which was produced in the great periods. During the early part of the twentieth century it is said that some forty thousand artists were at work in Paris. It is doubtful if history will remember more than a dozen or two of these, but it is probable that if the great number of artists had not been working, very few of these two dozen would have been stimulated to creative endeavor. In a genuine art movement a great reservoir of art is created in many forms, both major and minor.

FINE ART AND ART FOR USE

European critics have always recognized the usefulness of the minor artist of excellent but not necessarily creative gifts; he has often made contributions of great importance to the art movements of his time, notably through educational or historical undertakings. The contribution of the craftsman or worker in the practical arts has also been recognized. It is clear that in the best periods of art expression the homely crafts and the fine arts have been closely integrated.

In organizing the Federal Art program the many forces which tend to build up a sound art movement have been considered. An effort has been made to view American art in perspective, both as to the past and as to the future. While the fate of the workers in the fine arts has seemed of paramount importance, it is clear that under the most favorable conditions these artists cannot prosper alone, nor can they by their solitary efforts create a fully developed art movement in America.

The importance of an integration between the fine arts and the practical arts has been recognized from the first by the Federal Art Project, as an
objective desirable in itself and as a means of drawing together major esthetic forces in this country. Our manufacturing system has produced much that may be called good from the esthetic point of view, but it has also produced a fearful clutter of unlovely things, and this in turn has resulted in a degradation of popular taste, since these objects provide the only art that many individuals know. Direction from the fine arts has been sorely needed for the manufacturer, the craftsman, and the public. It has been impossible to provide a solution for all the ensuing problems under an emergency program, but an attempt has been made by the Federal Art Project to break down the artificial barrier which exists between these forms of art expression. The young commercial artist has received direction, which has often been distinguished, from teachers or workers in the fine arts. In the printshops and workshops set up under the Project, in the making of posters, mural maps, dioramas, lantern slides for schools, of scenic models for natural history museums, young workers in the fine and the practical arts have come together to work out mutual problems. The outcome has been the accomplishment of many useful services for public institutions, and a stimulation toward higher levels in the creation of objects of common use. (Numbers 382-391.)

THE YOUNG ARTIST

As these workers under the Project have been organized, still another group has received special attention. The problem of the young artist of distinguished but still emergent gifts has been of major concern. If American art is to continue, the talents of the younger generation of artists must not only be encouraged but must be given an opportunity to develop. Under the Project arrangements have been made by which the experienced professional artist has directed the work of groups of young workers in the fine arts. Something like the master-pupil relationship of the Renaissance has developed as these groups have worked together, with the result that the young artist has benefited by the experience of the mature professional, while the professional in turn has been exposed to the constructive influence of that responsibility. Many professional artists have testified as to the interest and stimulus provided by this relationship.
An important gain has been the breaking down of isolation which has almost habitually surrounded the mature artist in this country, and a broadening of social relationships.

For the young artist another relationship has seemed of importance. Because of the development of local or regional creative or teaching projects, the young artist has tended for perhaps the first time within the modern period to attack the problems of art at home, in his own setting, among familiar surroundings, in the midst of a social life which he is likely to know well. This situation—part of it enforced by the depression—has meant at least a beginning toward a naturalization of art in all our communities, an outcome which must be achieved if our art is to be anything more than an effervescence along the Atlantic seaboard.

The rise and abundance of young talent throughout the country has been heartening to all those connected with the Federal Art Project. Yet a definite challenge has been heard from a few quarters as to its development. Why, it has been asked, should young artists be encouraged to continue in the field of art if over-production already exists in that field? It is true that the studios of many of our gifted, older artists are filled with unsold paintings and sculptures. But the crucial circumstance is not over-production in art; rather it is under-consumption. As has been suggested, a comparatively slight possession of art exists in this country today. Few people own art, yet the potential audience for American art is extremely wide. As new publics for art develop—as they seem bound to do—our supply of artists may actually prove to be too small. Even now there are signs of this. A far wider demand for works of art has developed on the part of schools, libraries and other public institutions than the Federal Art Project, with its large body of workers, has been able to meet. “Our schools are bare.” “We have no art of any kind in this community.” Appeals containing statements of this kind are constantly being received by the directors of state and regional projects. One school superintendent has declared, “The art teachers in our schools have never had an opportunity to see an original work of art.” This last may seem incredible, but it is true not only that many teachers of art have been entirely lacking in such opportunities, but that courses in art are being given in teachers’ training colleges without
the use of any original works of art whatever. The Federal Art Project has repeatedly been asked to help remedy this striking deficiency.

An attempt to bridge the gap between the American artist and the American public has governed the entire program of the Federal Art Project. At many points, as we have seen, the lack of a firm relationship has resulted in uncertainty and even disruption in the sequences of American art. Under the Project popular art, in the best sense, has seemed highly desirable. Critics have sometimes suggested that popularization involves vulgarization, but this is not necessarily true. Vulgarization usually occurs, as in the Gilded Age, when groups seeking to rise in the social scale use art as an object of conspicuous display. The general public of the present day is not concerned with these objectives. Experience under the Project, as this has developed throughout the country, has shown a sincere response to art, a genuine demand for it, and a widespread popular interest. The problem has been to meet this popular concern by the best use of the available talent.

EXPERIMENTAL GALLERIES

It is not too much to say that people in whole areas of this country have had little or no experience in art. The channels have not been created by which art could reach those who wish to enjoy it. Many states in the South, the West and the Middle West, for example, have been almost entirely lacking in art galleries. Under the Federal Art Project, since January, 1936, the experience and talent of artists on relief have been utilized to establish and direct nineteen experimental art galleries in the South with the purpose of breaking down these great disparities in opportunity. These galleries are located in the Carolinas, Tennessee, Alabama, Virginia, Oklahoma, and Florida. They have been visited by nearly half a million people during this short period, and many other proofs of a genuine popular response have become clear.

In arranging exhibitions for these galleries emphasis has been placed upon the work of local or regional artists; in many instances no general opportunity to see this work has existed. Painting and sculpture from other and often distant parts of the country have also been shown, including
works created under the Federal Art Project. Contemporary art has thus been brought into an active, popular, regional interchange in many places for the first time.

Important phases of community interest have also been studied and utilized in arranging exhibits. In Chattanooga the manufacturing background of the city has been taken into consideration. In Big Stone Gap, Virginia, the pioneer arts and crafts which form a link between the past and the present have been shown. In Mobile, interesting collections which had lain in storage for twenty years have been brought to light and have been made to form the nucleus for a permanent museum. In Greenville, South Carolina, plans are under way for the establishment of a textile museum, allied with the major industry of the district. In North Carolina and Tennessee, special thought has been given to exhibits of local crafts which will attract and hold the interest of people from the mountain sections.

All these new galleries are situated in central downtown sections where people may drop in easily, and most of them are kept open until nine o'clock in the evening to permit workers to visit them. Many persons come who would hesitate to enter large and imposing museums. Friendliness and informality mark the programs. Lectures or demonstration talks by artists or teachers on the Project, illustrating the use of the various art media, have revealed art as a form of enjoyment, not as a hard intellectual struggle for which an expensive and specialized education is required. Many individuals are learning for the first time to read the language of painting. One director has set up her own art library in the corner of a gallery, lending the books to those who care to use them, with a consequent widening of interest and knowledge.

TEACHING AND CHILDREN'S WORK

The classes in painting, modelling, carving and weaving, which have been formed in these southern galleries, are typical of an important section of the Federal Art program. No phase of its work is of greater social significance than its teaching. Hundreds of highly trained teachers of art, displaced by depression economy, are holding classes daily in boys' clubs,
girls' service leagues, in schools after hours, in churches and settlement houses. Several thousand persons have joined classes in the southern galleries. In New York City and its vicinity fifty thousand children and adults are being reached weekly through the teaching force of the Federal Art Project. A widening area of social influence has been created by the classes for under-privileged children, taking them off the street and providing fresh and natural outlets for expression. One school superintendent has stated a typical conclusion, saying that these classes have "done more to stabilize the schools in this city during a difficult period than any other single agency." Educators and social workers have also emphasized the larger public understanding of art which has developed from these classes.

The work of children in art classes under the Project has attracted a considerable amount of attention, and is included in this exhibition as an integral part of the Federal program. These children are taught through practice rather than by verbal instruction, each child being given training in the craft of the art and then allowed to develop his own personal expression. Intensity of feeling has produced instinctive simplifications in their painting and sculpture. Most of the city children know little about nature and paint it infrequently, while children in the smaller towns of the South and West turn to it spontaneously. A large proportion of these youngsters are realists, describing their impressions of speeding locomotives, of steamboats, and of El trains undaunted by detail or compositional complexity. A butcher shop, a Passover scene, "Yentas" bargaining over fish, a drugstore where ten cents will buy a banana split, are some of the subjects which they have described with a bold vividness sometimes denied to adults. (Plates 392-427.) In sculpture they have shown themselves unafraid of their materials. They do not seek beautiful surfaces or prettiness of detail but let their sense of the round and the flat, the crest and the hollow, pass directly into the material. (Plates 428-434.)

Among the settlements and community houses where this work has been accomplished are the Hudson Guild, the Boys’ Welcome Home, the Gramercy Boys’ Club, the East Side Jewish Settlement in New York, the Avery Memorial in Hartford, Connecticut, Friendship House and the Americanization School in Washington, D. C., and centers in Michigan,
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Tennessee, Virginia, and other states. The general age range of the children taught under this program has been from eight to sixteen, though many younger children have been included. It has not been expected that all or even a large proportion of these children will develop into professional artists, but the high quality of much of their work has its broad suggestiveness as to the wide spread of unconscious talent; and there can be no doubt that this work tends toward the development of a greater sensitiveness to art among this coming generation. These young people will form a genuine audience for American art in the future.

INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

With these many orientations, the Federal Art program during the past year has striven for a sound accomplishment based upon a distinct consciousness of the problems of the future. An effort has also been made to consider the past. American artists and designers have always lacked the sense of continuities which well-defined traditions can bring. Even with our recent vigorous research many of these traditions have not yet been uncovered—they are not yet a firm body of reference. So far as both the past and the present are concerned, the artist has constantly met the challenging question whether there is such a thing as American art. It has been possible for many artists to say that the hunt for a usable American past is a vain one, with the implication that the artist takes off only from the immediate present, and that the only unifying principle in art today is the loyalty of the artist to his craft and to the styles and manners of expression which have been the vogue in the contemporary era. This point of view has been due mainly to recognition of the fact that, aside from its European heritage, American art lacks the bedrock of a classical past. Its history does not exhibit the continuities and progressions which give a firm impression of order to the history of Old World cultures, an order enhanced for our American perception by the sentiment of distance and the foreshortening of time.

The search for a usable American past in the arts is not a simple one because of the weight of European influence and the many breaks and changes in American art itself. However, it would be a mistake to believe
that a summing up of outside influences defines the character of American art, or that its history is, in the main, the story of a cultural lag, as so many students would have us believe. Naturally such difficult research and interpretation as are required to establish clear perspectives in this field cannot be completely carried out under an emergency program. This is the special task of critics or groups of critics. Yet it has been possible to turn the talents of many artists on the Project toward an undertaking which will, it is hoped, make a positive contribution in this direction.

The Index of American Design, now well advanced in twenty-five states, and comprising a large series of portfolios, will depict the decorative arts in America from their inception well through the nineteenth century. For at least a hundred years and in many instances for a longer period, artists and designers in Europe have recognized the importance of such compilations. They have been considered as important, not by any means as a basis for imitation but as a wellspring to which workers in all the arts might turn for a renewed sense of native traditions in design. In Europe these compilations have frequently been published by government subsidy, so great has been the value attached to them.

In this country nothing of the sort has been attempted up to this time. Excellent special studies have appeared, but these have often been limited in scope, limited in the material upon which they could draw, limited also in their study of the material considered in relation to tendencies in design in other fields. One of the results of the work of the Index will be the opportunity to trace alliances between the many forms of decorative arts that have appeared in different and sometimes widely separated parts of the country. Already striking interconnections, not always to be easily explained, have been shown, as between certain patterns in embroidery designs from New England of the seventeenth century, in the Shaker inspirationals of the 1840’s and 1850’s, and again in some of the chest paintings and santos of the Mexican border.

American decorative arts as shown in furniture, costumes, embroideries, textiles, coverlets, ironwork, ceramics, glass, silver, pewter, toys, as revealed in architectural details, weathervanes, wood sculpture for decorative purposes such as ships’ figureheads and shop signs or other related
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crafts, local or folk arts such as scrimshaw, form the basic materials for the Index. Artists on the Project have made record drawings in color for the most part, but photography or black and white have been used when color is not important. Both public and private collections have been drawn upon for the selection of significant or beautiful examples, and every phase of this undertaking has been accompanied by adequate research, bringing to light both objects and the circumstances of their creation which have not hitherto received attention.

All this work has been done under the direction of supervisors, trained both in the history of the decorative arts and in their adequate representation. Experts outside the Project whose knowledge is unquestionable have been untiringly generous in giving their services when advice or assistance has been needed. The Index has received from them, as from many others, enthusiastic support.

Not least of the phases of interest stirred by the Index has come from the artists who are creating it. High standards have been set for their work, and they have collaborated in maintaining these. Many groups have formed classes in order to perfect their technique and to study the history of the objects which they are depicting. The artists at work on the Index are drawn from all sections of the Project, from those who have been accustomed to devote themselves to the fine arts, and those who have worked in the commercial field. Through this work many young artists have learned techniques which will prove valuable to them in later years.

There can be no question as to the indirect returns for the artists engaged in this undertaking. They are placed in a constant relationship with fine forms, with objects of great intrinsic interest or excellence in design and workmanship. They have become aware of the decorative sequences which these objects represent. These are by-products of the work of the Index, but they must be considered important by-products when the development of American art as a whole is considered. Many of these artists are frank to say that their study of these objects represents their first contact with the arts of design of earlier periods in this country.

Inevitably, in this connection, the question arises whether these rich decorative materials are genuinely American. Are they not derivative, all
of them? Is the native element strong? Ernest Fenellosa has admirably said that "alien influence is invariably at the heart of the native development." Japanese art contains an altered composite of Korean, Chinese, and other Asiatic forms. English portraiture of the 17th and 18th century stems from Dutch and Flemish masters. Yet distinct changes have always taken place as the older or alien forms have become rooted in new soil. In this country such transformations have often been strongly marked. The stripping down to a few essentials demanded by colonial life; our early limited means for manufacture; the rigorous, practical, unadorned existence of the earliest settlers had a determining effect upon their adaptation of continental forms. As the work of the Index has proceeded, fresh and distinctive groupings, definitely American in character, have been shown in a wide array of materials. The extent of these groupings will best appear in the full scope of the Index.

Certainly it is clear that the decorative arts which have developed on American soil are important for American designers, not only because they are American but also because they preserve fundamental human and cultural values. They are also important for the worker in the fine arts, since these forms represent the esthetic language popularly spoken through decades in many parts of the country. In the furniture and textiles of the Shakers, in the ceramics of the Pennsylvania Germans, in the iron work and pottery of the southern states have appeared striking phases of native esthetic development. These and others will continue to have much to say to the designer, student, and critic.

At the present time approximately thirty-five hundred plates in color have been completed. An ensuing problem is that of adequate reproduction at a moderate cost, so that art schools, public schools, libraries, colleges, and universities, may acquire sets of the portfolios. In New Mexico a portfolio of Spanish-Colonial Index plates is being reproduced by means of key block linoleum cuts, combined with hand-coloring. Reproduction of this character is, of course, necessarily limited. What is desirable, if the work of the Index is to come into active use, is a fairly inexpensive form of mechanical reproduction. At the workshops of several of the Index units experiments are now under way as to the use of the color lithography
process for printing the Index in sets of portfolios for museums, libraries, and schools. Plans for publication on a broad scale are now being considered. In the meantime, admirable plates are steadily accumulating in many parts of the country. These will represent a permanent body of invaluable work, which can be deposited in museums where artists and students may have access to them.

THE FINE ARTS PROJECTS

Naturally in any program of this kind the position of the creative artist has been considered as of primary importance. If the main stream of American art is to continue, he must be given a chance to develop and to assume the leadership which belongs to him in a sound general movement. An art tradition may be said to have existence only as it is created anew by each generation. No matter what the museum collections tell us about the past it is in the work of present-day artists that we must look for the living tradition.

That the tradition of American art is still vigorous is amply shown by the response of creative artists to government encouragement and support. This response has been magnificent. Their production has been large and of high quality. They have worked with intelligence, energy, and initiative.

While the marked rise of the young artist under the Project is not its only significant development, this tends to show that the Project has performed a genuine function in permitting and encouraging the growth of new talent. Of the artists on the Fine Arts Projects represented in this exhibition sixty-four are under thirty years of age. Many are in their early twenties. Recognition of the importance of this young talent has been received from many quarters. While prizes and fellowships are in themselves relatively unimportant they are evidence from outside the Project of the high quality of the work produced. In the mural field, one Project artist was awarded the gold medal of the Architectural League, generally conceded to be the highest honor in decorative painting in the United States. A painting by one artist was purchased by the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York; another was purchased by the Phillips Memorial Gallery in Washington, D. C. Three artists have won prizes at the National
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Academy of Design; four at the Cleveland Museum’s Annual Show. Three have won Guggenheim Foundation Fellowships, and one a fellowship at the MacDowell Colony.

A full and free expression on the part of creative artists may have come about in a measure at this time because of a release from the grueling pressure which most of them suffered during the early part of the depression. It seems to have its origin also in a special set of circumstances determined by the Project. The new and outstanding situation is that these artists have been working with a growing sense of public demand for what they produce. For the first time in American art history a direct and sound relationship has been established between the American public and the artist. Community organizations of all kinds have asked for his work. In the discussions and interchanges between the artist and the public concerning murals, easel paintings, prints, and sculptures for public buildings, through the arrangements for allocations of art in many forms to schools and libraries, an active and often very human relationship has been created. The artist has become aware of every type of community demand for art, and has had the prospect of increasingly larger audiences, of greatly extended public interest. There has been at least the promise of a broader and socially sounder base for American art with the suggestion that the age-old cleavage between artist and public is not dictated by the very nature of our society. New horizons have come into view.

American artists have discovered that they have work to do in the world. Awareness of society’s need and desire for what they can produce has given them a new sense of continuity and assurance. This awareness has served to enhance the already apparent trend toward social content in art. In some instances the search for social content has taken the form of an illustrative approach to certain aspects of the contemporary American scene—a swing back to the point of view of the genre painters of the nineteenth century. Evidences of social satire have also appeared. In many phases of American expression this has been no more than a reaction against the genteel tradition or a confession of helplessness. The dominant trend today, as illustrated by the Project work, is more positive. There is a development toward greater vigor, unity, and clarity of statement, a search
for an adequate symbolism in the expression of contemporary American experience, less dependence on the easily obvious in subject matter, and a definite relation to local and regional environments.

The fact that the Federal Art Project has made it possible for hundreds of artists to work in their home environments has led to interesting developments in many parts of the country. Heretofore certain regions have been barren of art and art interest because of a constant drift of talent toward the already overcrowded art centers in the East. The Project has helped to counteract this movement. One result has been that a great deal of latent local interest has been brought to the surface and stimulated into healthy activity. Another is that many little-known aspects of this extraordinarily varied country of ours have been brought into the current of art. Through this we are discovering that the country differs considerably from the "standardized America" which was so thoroughly advertised in the recent past. There has been no attempt under the Project to foster a "regional art," assuming that a regional art is possible in this day of easy transportation. But art that is related to the history or the local color of a region has been encouraged where this has seemed a natural expression for the artist.

What strikes one about the Project work in general is relevance to contemporary life and sound technical knowledge. In every section of the country there are honest, vigorous, and independent artists whose work has the firm discipline and intelligent craftsmanship which give assurance of solid achievement in the present and stimulate high hopes for the future. The period of experimentation and research through which artists have passed in the last twenty years or more has given them a technical equipment of great range, and has made for high standards of performance. This technique is no longer being used simply for technique's sake. It is being turned to vital and useful ends in searching out expressive forms for what is most positive and valuable in the unfolding experience of the American community.

MURALS

It is known that wall painting in one form or another has been practiced in this country since the eighteenth century, at least. Most of this work,
especially in the early period, was of the provincial-popular type. It was
done probably by the itinerant limners who were a feature of American
village life up to the middle of the nineteenth century, and many of whom
may still be found today, especially in the South. Up to the third quarter
of the nineteenth century, most of our better-known murals, like the paint-
ings in the dome of the National Capitol, were the work of European
artists.

From 1876, when John La Farge began to decorate the interior of
Trinity Church in Boston, there has been a recurrent interest in the mural
among American artists, with 1893, the year of the World's Columbian
Exposition, standing out as a sort of minor peak of popularity. The best of
this nineteenth century work is unquestionably that of John La Farge.
Since his time, there has been a good deal of mural painting in this country,
but not until the past decade has there been anything resembling a general
movement.

In recent years a revival of public interest in mural painting has been
stimulated by the work of Thomas Benton and Boardman Robinson, by
the veritable renaissance of the mural art begun under the Mexican Gov-
ernment Projects in the 1920's and by work done in this country by the
most prominent exemplars of this movement, Diego Rivera and José
Clemente Orozco. Since the beginning of the 1930's, American interest in
mural painting has increased to a remarkable extent. The exhibition of
designs by thirty-five painters and fourteen photographers at the Museum
of Modern Art in May, 1932, focussed for artists and public alike the prob-
lems and the possibilities of the mural art.

In the following year Harry L. Hopkins set up the first large govern-
ment art project under the Civil Works Administration and gave American
artists an opportunity to practice the mural art on a scale commensurate
with their abilities and aspirations. Since that time the development has
been continuous and dramatic. Private patrons, convinced by the results
achieved under the government program, are turning to the mural with
increasing interest since they have before their eyes the proof that Amer-
ican artists are using the medium with understanding and authority.

It is significant of contemporary trends in American art that so many
of the artists working on the Project have submitted themselves to the discipline of a severe medium. Mural painting, and especially painting in true fresco, does not permit the individual variations possible in oil or watercolor. The problems of fresco painting are extremely complex. The painter must know exactly what he wants to do with the space, and have a great deal of knowledge of the chemical relationships of various materials, especially of the relationship of mortar mixtures to colors. No matter what the medium, whether fresco, secco, tempera, or oil, the mural technique has certain possibilities and limitations which the painter must respect. The mural must have definite relation to its surroundings and be an integral part of an architectural scheme. The color, the scale, and the character of the painting must harmonize with the color, scale and character of the surrounding architecture. The composition as a whole must have clarity, largeness, carrying power, and a rhythmic order that leads the eye easily through the whole space. Mural art is suited to large, simple forms, and its color schemes are much more severely limited than those of the easel painter.

Many sketches, a great deal of research, and the hardest kind of purely physical labor must precede the actual painting on the wall. During the painting the artists usually work in public places where people congregate. Mural painting is not a studio art; by its very nature it is social. In its great periods it has always been associated with the expression of social meanings, the experience, history, ideas, and beliefs of a community. There is no question that the work here presented clearly indicates an orientation in this direction. (Numbers 8, 13, 44, 47.)

Since it would be impossible to include murals which have been painted in fresco directly on the wall, and since even the transportable murals lose much of their significance apart from their setting, it is difficult to give a complete picture of this phase of the Federal Art Project work. However, even the necessarily limited selection of designs, sketches, details, models, and photographs in this exhibition offers a fairly comprehensive picture of accomplishment and promise.

It is significant that a large proportion of the murals produced under the Federal Art Project during this first year are by young artists. These artists
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came to maturity at a time when mural painting, because of government support, was no longer a dream. The country was asking for murals. The many problems involved, problems of large space, architectural limitations, technical complexities, the handling of subject matter, were a challenge which the artists accepted. Courage to meet these problems was born of opportunity.

The work here exhibited gives positive indication that American artists have a mural sense and that they have gone about their work in this field with enthusiasm, independence, and directness. A variety of styles has developed, but the murals have in common a feeling for monumental construction, for design control, for rhythmic balance and inter-relation of parts. The treatment shows that many of the artists have achieved a real mastery in this art which is relatively new to them. The handling of subject matter is usually both imaginative and appropriate; the medium selected the most sympathetic to the space and the subject. Throughout the country one sees a spontaneous interest in local source material. This is true of the eastern group, and it is particularly true of the western and middle western mural painters, many of whom are unknown in New York. These artists may be called regionalists in that they turn naturally to themes linked with the life, landscape, and history of their regions. There is, however, nothing here of a false localism or of a romanticising of the past. (Numbers 24, 32, 49.)

During the first year of the Project 434 murals have been completed, 55 are in progress, and sketches are being prepared for a great many others. These murals are requested by public institutions which defray material costs. It is a most encouraging sign that, in addition to the murals already mentioned, there are hundreds of requests for others. In every section of the country there are waiting lists for Federal Art Project murals. It is not too much to say that this work, as it develops, gives promise of a truly monumental art which will express with honesty, clarity, and power the experience and ideas of American communities.

EASEL PAINTING

The largest number of creative artists engaged on the Federal Art
Project are working on easel pictures in oil, watercolor, tempera, and pastel. Some of this work is done in central studios where groups of artists work together, but most of it is done in the studios of the artists employed.

Evidence of a recovery of social context is clear in the work of the easel painters, but it is natural that this should be less striking than it is in the work of the muralists. The development of the easel painter's techniques, especially that of oil painting, is associated with the rise of individualism in western Europe. From their beginnings these techniques have been directed toward refinements and devices which would enable the individual artist to use the greatest freedom in expressing subtle nuances of personal statement and variations in style and expression. In the oil medium the artist is in almost complete control of his material, to an extent unknown in sculpture, for instance, where the character of the material is obviously resistant. As a result, particularly in recent years the tendency has been toward over-refinement and intricacy of personalized expression to the point of rarification.

Emphasis upon technique for its own sake may not have entirely vanished from the work produced on the Project, but a strong tendency away from it has become apparent. The modest but essential virtues of honesty and freshness have developed. Perhaps the most heartening feature of the highly varied easel painting on the Project is its comparative freedom from imitative entanglements, the absence of anything which might be called hero-worship. There is very little in this work which follows fashionable reputations at home or abroad; no residue of the point of view which in the past has tended to make American art a tasteful resumé of European practice. In view of the great influence of the van Gogh exhibition at the Museum of Modern Art last winter it is interesting to note that the work under the Project has conspicuously failed to echo either the design or the color of this master. The influence of the School of Paris is rather slight. With the decline of dependence on outside influences, preciosity and self-consciousness have tended to disappear. These artists have come to see that preciosity is related to the worship of esthetic fragments torn from their social contexts, and to the idea of art for the select few. The lack of self-consciousness may be an expression of American naïveté. But when one
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considers the “fearful progress in self-consciousness” which Jacques Maritain observed in contemporary painting, this change alone is a clear gain.

An outstanding characteristic of the easel painting under the Project has been the initiative in meeting new problems, coupled with an admirable command of the several media used. These artists have been making their own free and confident assertions. Figure painting and still life have interested them very little. Few studio subjects have appeared. This new work is often close to the quick, spontaneous life which is at the artist’s door—which, at least, he now perceives to be at his door.

A marked decentralization of art expression may have turned many painters toward wider perspectives and a consideration of more immediate subjects and materials. This decentralization has been inevitable as artists have been forced by the depression to remain in their home environments. Regional differences have come into play, in the Far West, in the Southwest, in New England. The skies of California, the quiet spaces of middle-western farming country, the remoteness of a fisherman’s shack on the coast of Maine are a few instances of a true exploration which has little or nothing to do with personal idiosyncrasies and a great deal to do with personal expression in a profound sense. A fresh poetry of the soil has appeared, with a marked freedom from formula.

Whatever else may be said about American painting in the past, however uncertain may have been its direction, an honest literalism has been developed in nearly all periods. In some phases, as in the work of Eakins, this literalism has been pushed by a severe integrity to the point of genius. Except for the remote magnificence of Ryder, the strain of poetry has been less clear. It must be admitted that emotional values have not been strongly sustained in American art of the past. The Project artists represented here have realistic integrity, but they are not overly interested in literalism, and a good deal of their work, whether it has to do with portraiture, landscape, the social scene, or pure fantasy, indicates a reappearance of emotion in painting with very little trace of the personal exoticism which has characterized much of the romantic painting of the recent past. “Imaginative realism” may be used to describe this tendency, a realism which means a genuine recovery of emotion.
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SCULPTURE

Compared with other sections of the Federal Art Project, with easel painting, for instance, the sculpture representation in this exhibition is small. This proportion, however, is a fairly accurate reflection of the comparative popularity of painting and sculpture in our time. The opportunities for the development of sculpture in the contemporary period have been limited. The controlling factors in this situation are lack of demand for sculpture in connection with architectural plans and the almost exclusive interest of museums and collectors in archaeology. No popular demand for sculpture has been created because the collectors' desire for rare pieces has led to the custom of limiting casts to a small number with the result that prices have been too high for the average man.

Roughly, one may divide sculpture into the architectural, the civic or commemorative monument, portraiture, and the figure. Excellent portraits and figures have been produced in this country since the days of William Rush and John Frazee, who may be considered our first sculptors. The monument has seldom offered much to the creative artist. The greatest opportunity for sculpture, it would appear, should be in the architectural field, but these two arts, so closely united in classical antiquity and in the Middle Ages, have followed divergent paths in the modern period.

Considerable demand for monumental and architectural sculpture appeared in this country after the middle of the nineteenth century. At that time our sculptors, coming out of a period of imitative neo-classicism, were developing a more romantic trend which emphasized pictorial quality, naturalistic treatment, and a lively handling of surfaces. These qualities are excellent in the portrait and even in the figure but they are not suited to the architectural and monumental.

In the past generation American sculptors have given a great deal of thought to the architectonic. But during this same period the architects, pursuing functionalist tendencies which developed in the nineteenth century, were carrying to its logical term an architectural style denuded of sculpture. A reaction against this tendency has taken place in recent years, both in America and in Europe, and architects are now trying to include sculpture in their plans. Significant attempts to relate sculpture to archi-
tecture have been made in government buildings in Washington, in various
government housing units such as First Houses (Plate 254), and at Rocke-
feller Center in New York. There has been considerable use of relief sculpt-
ture on façades, of relief and free sculpture in pediments and interiors. 
It does not appear that all these efforts have been successful. The impor-
tant thing is that they have been made; that there has been a sincere attempt 
to unite the distinct but related arts of sculpture and architecture. 

It is evident that sculpture will not find its place in the life of our time 
until a harmonious relationship with architecture has been established and 
until a popular demand is developed through a wider distribution of casts 
at a moderate price. For these reasons the greater part of the Project sculp-
ture has been designed to harmonize with architectural plans, and to stimu-
late a demand for sculpture in public buildings. Many friezes, pediments, 
plaques, panels, and figures have been installed in schools, colleges, libraries, 
government housing units, and other public buildings. A good deal of the 
free sculpture on the Project has been designed to fit specific locations in 
public parks, botanical gardens, and courtyards of buildings. (Numbers 
256, 256.) Many casts have been distributed to schools and libraries. 
(Number 257.)

Because so much of its work is in the architectural and decorative fields 
very little of the Project sculpture is available for exhibition. The examples 
here shown, however, illustrate certain broad contemporary tendencies. 
The first thing that strikes one is the general high level of technical accompl-
ishment. Due to the marked improvement in American art teaching since 
the turn of the century, and to the experiment and research which artists 
have been carrying on for the past generation, sculptors now have a 
technical and stylistic equipment of great range. There are many working 
on the Project who are thoroughly expert in all branches of their craft, in 
modelling or carving in relief or in the round, as well as in casting. It is a 
healthy sign that in spite of all this technical equipment there is very little 
interest in virtuosity, in the manipulation of surfaces to give an adventitious 
impression of liveliness, or in easy decorative effects in the handling of 
silhouette or drapery. Instead there is, in general, an honest and unpreten-
tious approach, and an acceptance of the essentially sculptural idea that this
art involves a collaboration between the artist and his material. From the point of view of style one notices a comparative freedom from the archaeological bias, from the eclecticism of the recent past, as well as from the literalism of the nineteenth century. There is very little of the classic idealizing tendency which has been so popular in American sculpture, and which, in many ways, is a heritage of the genteel tradition with its emphasis on "seeing beautifully." There is a definite interest in the architectural foundation of sculpture, in rhythm and design, the relation of form and space, in mass, and movement. There is a new emphasis on architectural appropriateness and decorative purpose. The growing interest in human significance should serve not only to bring the sculptor into closer touch with the life of his time, but also to stimulate wider public interest. It seems reasonable to believe that, through the work of the Federal Art Project, a great many people, coming into daily contact with sculpture, will be trained more effectively in the understanding of an art which, except in its more illustrative phases, has always seemed difficult to the general public.

GRAPHIC ARTS

Prints have been both a popular and important phase of American art expression since the 1830's, when the interests of the common man began definitely to influence American cultural life. Toward the close of the nineteenth century they suffered a decline because of the cheap commercialism which followed the great success of Currier and Ives, and also because the perfection of photo-engraving made possible an entirely new pictorial world for the American public.

As the popular interest declined, the collector and museum official directed their attention to the medium of etching. Particularly since Whistler's time, and until the present decade, the etching maintained its hold in the affections of the print connoisseur, and nearly every major American artist tried his hand at this medium. Many distinguished plates were produced in this country, and great virtuosity was developed in technique. But vitality was sapped, and the creative element dwindled as false values came to be established through preciosity. The rare replaced the fine work of art. Accidents in printing, often deliberate, created "spe-
cial states;” the size of editions was reduced; and collectors as well as museums paid record prices for rarity and a display of means rather than for quality or content. Thus the audience became smaller and smaller, and with the exception of the few truly creative etchers, most print makers in the opening decades of the twentieth century turned to the freer and more democratic medium of lithography.

Graphic art history shows that each period usually has a predominant interest in one medium. Since the late 1920’s lithography has been emphasized. Every general print exhibition since that time has shown lithographs. The character of the medium offers a minimum of technical problems and arbitrary limitations. The public has quickly responded to the rich variation of tone, subtle color suggestion, dramatic contrasts of light and dark, and the great variety of subject matter which have appeared in lithography. Popular interest grew very rapidly. The print was taken out of the precious portfolio and brought into the intimate environment of the home. Special editions in large quantities were produced at prices within the range of the average purse. The cause and result both contributed toward a concentration on lithography.

Today, on the Project, an extraordinary versatility has come into being. Not only lithography but every known branch of the graphic arts has its practitioners. Encouragement to technical experiment, the supply of needed materials and well-equipped workshops, have broadened the field so that in this exhibition we find a most comprehensive diversity of media, often treated with real mastery: lithography, lithotint, color lithography, etching, aquatint, wood block, linoleum cut, colored wood block, and wood engraving. Each artist has been free to select the medium most sympathetic to his vision. It is of special significance to note how inclusive is the choice of the artist, and how the interest in such comparatively difficult media as wood engraving has progressed. The variety of treatment, and the skill with which these techniques have been handled, are illustrated in the collection of prints shown. (Plates 192, 193, 203, 216, 228, 239.)

As might be expected from its history in this country, the print is extremely sensitive to the contemporary environment, and is an art rich in social content. It would almost be possible to reconstruct a social history of
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our period from the prints produced on the Federal Art Project. The prints give a fresh and vital interpretation of life as it is lived in America today, and give first evidence of new directions. Every aspect of the American scene is reflected, the cities with their medley of architectural styles, skyscrapers, bridges, interiors, gasoline tanks, factories, subways, railways, airplanes, harbors, farms, cabins, wheat fields, mountains, mines, sports, politics, racial and social types, the whole kaleidoscope of American life.

ART AND SOCIETY

No complete picture of the program of the Federal Art Project can be given in a museum exhibition. Much of its work, such as murals, decorative sculpture, stage sets, and various types of visual aids for educational purposes, is not suited to museum presentation. The teaching program, which has had wide implications, can be only suggested. The character of this work has been determined by public demand for art, and it has been designed to meet specific needs and locations as part of broad educational plans.

Yet the works shown in this exhibition indicate important phases of a year's accomplishment. From the point of view of the artist and the public they have a significance far beyond that of the record, beyond even their value as individual works of art. Taken as a whole they reveal major trends and directions in contemporary expression, and a view toward new horizons. Surely art is not merely decorative, a sort of unrelated accompaniment to life. In a genuine sense it should have use; it should be interwoven with the very stuff and texture of human experience, intensifying that experience, making it more profound, rich, clear, and coherent. This can be accomplished only if the artist is functioning freely in relation to society, and if society wants what he is able to offer.

The idea which has seemed most fruitful in contemporary art—particularly as shown by the work of artists under the Project—has been that of participation. Though the measure of security provided by the government in these difficult times unquestionably has been important, a sense of an active participation in the life and thought and movement of their own time has undoubtedly been even more significant for a large number of
artists, particularly those in the younger groups. A new concept of social loyalty and responsibility, of the artist’s union with his fellow men in origin and in destiny, seems to be replacing the romantic concept of nature which for so many years gave to artists and to many others a unifying approach to art. This concept is capable of great development in intellectual range and emotional power. This is what gives meaning to the social content of art in its deepest sense. An end seems to be in sight to the kind of detachment which removed the artist from common experience, and which at its worst gave rise to an art merely for the museum, or a rarified preciousness. This change does not mean any loss in the peculiarly personal expression which any artist of marked gifts will necessarily develop. Rather it means a greater scope and freedom for a more complete personal expression.

It is fortunate that, under government auspices, an opportunity for the development of significant new tendencies has been provided during these crucial times. The outcome is full of promise for the future. Certainly there is no dearth of genuine talent in this country—talent of a rich order. Under the most difficult circumstances American artists have shown themselves ready to attack new problems and to make fresh adaptations. They are growing in stature and in power. They have the technique, the discipline, and the impulse to carry American art to new heights. The question for the future is whether they may continue to maintain that sound relationship with a wide public which has been shown to be essential for a living art.

HOLGER CAHILL
PLATES

MURALS
8 Edgar Britton, Illinois: Classroom Studies and Their Application
Three completed panels of a series of six
One completed panel of a series of six
Alfred Crimi, New York: Preventive Medicine and Surgery
Completed panel
Wyatt Davis, New York: Mechanical Aspects of Airplane Construction
Study for photo-mural
23 Ralf Henricksen, Illinois: The Elements
Watercolor study for two of four panels: Earth and Water
Hester Miller Murray, Illinois: World of Children

One completed panel of a series of three
Emanuel Jacobson, Illinois: Early Schoolroom
Watercolor study for one of a series of panels
Karl Kelpe, Illinois: Pioneer Days
One of two completed panels: Early Farmers
One completed panel: Head of Pasteur
51 Max Spivak, New York: Puppets
One completed panel of a series of nine
James Michael Newell, New York: Evolution of Western Civilization
One completed panel of a series of five
James Michael Newell, New York: Evolution of Western Civilization
One completed panel of a series of five
49 Mitchell Siporin, Illinois: Prairie Poets
Tempera study for proposed fresco
48 Anatol Shulkin, New Jersey: Historical and Social Function of the Court
Tempera study for one of four panels: The Need for the Law
35 Karl Knaths, Massachusetts: Series of panels in a school music room
Two completed panels
EASEL PAINTINGS
Pedro Cervantez, New Mexico: Croquet Ground
73 Joseph De Martini, New York: Moonlight
Emmet Edwards, New York: Abstraction
Karl Fortess, New York: Winter Vista
Leon Garland, Illinois: Fry Street
79 Louis Guglielmi, New York: Wedding in South Street
91 William Littlefield, Massachusetts: Fantasy of a Fire and Figure
90 Jack Levine, Massachusetts: Conference
Loren MacIver, New York: Dune Landscape
93 Austin Mecklem, New York: Skiers
Hester Miller Murray, Illinois: Buffalo at Night
94 Roland Mousseau, New York: Landscape
Gregorio Prestopino, New York: American Landscape
97 Jane Ninas, Louisiana: Negro Cemetery
108 Red Robin, Colorado: Hasjelti Dailjis, sand painting
110 Manuel Tolegian, New York: Pennsylvania Landscape
111 Eugene Trentham, Colorado: Golden, Colorado
Arnold Wiltz, New York: Bridge and Dam
Edgar Yaeger, Michigan: Still Life
115 Frede Vidar, New York: Pool
Cameron Booth, Minnesota: Street in Stillwater
Rainey Bennett, Illinois: Storm Threat
Raymond Breinin, Illinois: Landscape
129 Bob Brown, Minnesota: Ashes
Samuel J. Brown, Pennsylvania: Mrs. Simmons
Glenn Chamberlain, Iowa: Landscape
Carlos Dyer, California: Palos Verdes Landscape
Joseph De Mers, California: Post No Bills
187 Helen Blackmur Dickson, Massachusetts: Fisherman's Shack
140 Stuart Edie, New York: Red Table
Thomas Flavell, Pennsylvania: The Station
Stanford Fenelle, Minnesota: Road
150 Jack Greitzer, Ohio: Memory
147 Oronzo Gasparo, New York: Promenade
Isolde Therese Gilbert, Massachusetts: Millbridge Road
Edward Lewandowski, Wisconsin: Lobster Markers
Lester Schwartz, Illinois: Circus Day
Andrée Rexroth, California: San Francisco Bay
Ann Michalov, Illinois: Approaching Storm
165 William Earl Singer, Illinois: Little Immigrant
John Stenvall, Illinois: Ohio River Flood
William Sommer, Ohio: Ordering Lunch
Elizabeth Terrell, New York: Red Still Life
186 Karl Zerbe, Massachusetts: Houses on the River
Joseph Vavak, Illinois: The Dispossessed
GRAPHIC ARTS
228 Hugh Miller, New York: Machinery

193 Jolan Gross Bettelheim, Ohio: “Unemployed” Office
203 Horatio C. Forjohn, Pennsylvania: Confusion at 40

192 F. G. Becker, New York: John Henry's Hand
239 Julius Weiss, New York: Windows

216 Eli Jacobi, New York: All Night Mission
SCULPTURE
245 Patrocinio Barela, New Mexico: Holy Family
Patrocino Barela, New Mexico: The Coronation of the Virgin
Samuel Cashman, Michigan: Reclining Nude
Concetta Scaravaglione, New York: Girl with Fawn
Hugo Robus, New York: Dog: sculpture for children's playground, First Houses, New York City Housing Project

Children's playground with animal sculptures: First Houses, New York City Housing Project
ALLIED ARTS
Index of American Design

265 California: Spanish-Californian spur, c. 1852

258 California: slipper, c. 1820
Index of American Design
340 New Mexico: Bulto, 1810-50

Index of American Design
344 New Mexico: painted pine chest
Index of American Design
311 Massachusetts: Shaker sill cupboard, c. 1810

Index of American Design
302 Massachusetts: Shaker dairy counter, 1876
Index of American Design
306 Massachusetts: Shaker candle sconce, 1800-50

Index of American Design
329 Massachusetts: Shaker loom stool, c. 1830
349 New York: Iron deer weather-vane

357 New York: Cornhusk doll
Index of American Design
365 Pennsylvania: painted chest, 1775

Index of American Design
366 Pennsylvania: pie plate, c. 1800
Index of American Design
356  New York: Patchwork quilt

Index of American Design
315  Massachusetts: chair-seat, 17th century
384 Katherine Milhous, Pennsylvania: Poster

385 Katherine Milhous, Pennsylvania: Poster
Hester Miller Murray, Illinois: Poster for zoo
CHILDREN'S WORK
Mick Arsena, aged 16, New York: Politics under the "El"
420 Louis Novar, aged 14, New York: The Butcher
Alphonso Basile, aged 13, District of Columbia: Robinson Crusoe as a Young Man
407 Isaiah Eisen, aged 12, New York: Boiler Menders
Hyman Dorfman, aged 14, New York: Mother and Child
Joe Larkin, aged 12, Connecticut: The Nativity
Mike Mosco, aged 15, New York: Miner
F. Rick, aged 10, New York: Passover Feast
Alfredo Casale, aged 10, New York: Interior
CATALOG OF THE EXHIBITION

Catalog items preceded with • are illustrated by a plate which bears the same number.
MURAL PROJECT

CHARLES ALSTON. Born 1907. New York
Mystery and Magic Contrasted with Modern Science and
Medicine: two panels in entrance lobby of Women's Wing,
Harlem Hospital, New York. Oil on canvas, 250 square feet.
1 Color study for one panel
2 Full-sized detail, oil on canvas

FRANCES AVERY. Born 1910. New York
Maternity or the History of Obstetrics: series of panels on
four walls of doctors' library, Lincoln Hospital, Bronx.
Oil on canvas, 690 square feet.
3 Detail, watercolor

RAINEY BENNETT. Born 1907. Illinois
Series of eight panels for Crippled Boys' Ward, Research
and Educational Hospitals, University of Illinois Medical
Unit, Chicago.
4 Watercolor study for one panel: Apple Harvest and
Farm Animals
5 Completed panel: Birds and Quiet Animals, oil on canvas

LUCIENNE BLOCH. Born 1909. New York
The Cycle of a Woman's Life: panel in recreation room,
House of Detention for Women, New York. Fresco, 119
square feet.
6 Photograph of finished panel

EDGAR BRITTON. Born 1901. Illinois
Classroom Studies and Their Application: six panels in
entrance hall of Bloom Township High School, Chicago
Heights. Fresco.
7 Detail, egg tempera on board
8 Photographs of completed panels

JAMES BROOKS. Born 1906. New York
Acquisition of Long Island: panel in Woodside Branch
Library, Long Island. Tempera on gesso, 180 square feet.
9 Model showing interior with mural
MURAL PROJECT

ALFRED CRIMI. Born 1900. New York

Preventive Medicine and Surgery: panel in Medical Board Conference Room, Harlem Hospital, New York. Fresco, 250 square feet.
10 Color study
11 Cartoon
12 Full-sized detail, fresco
13 Photograph of completed panel

WYATT DAVIS. Born 1906. New York

Mechanical Aspects of Airplane Construction: photomural in Administration Building, Newark Airport, New Jersey. Photomontage, 204 square feet.
14 Photograph of study

PHILIP EVERGOOD. Born 1901. New York

The Story of Richmond Hill: three panels on one wall of reference room, Richmond Hill Branch Library, Richmond Hill, Long Island. Oil on canvas, 160 square feet.
15 Color study
16 Model showing interior with mural
17 Photograph of completed mural

SEYMOUR FOGEL. Born 1911. New York

Music, Classic and Primitive: two panels in music room of Abraham Lincoln High School, Brooklyn. Oil on canvas, 350 square feet.
18 Color study of one panel
19 Section of cartoon

ARSHILE GORKY. Born 1904. New York

Aviation: Evolution of Forms under Aerodynamic Limitations: ten panels in second floor foyer, Administration Building, Newark Airport, New Jersey. Oil on canvas, 1530 square feet.
MURAL PROJECT

20 One completed panel, *oil on canvas*
21 Model showing interior with murals
22 Photographs of largest panels

RALF HENRICKSEN. Born 1907. Illinois
The Elements: *four panels in Gordon School, Lake Forest, Illinois. Oil on canvas.*
23 Watercolor study for two panels: Earth and Water

EMANUEL JACOBSON. Born 1907. Illinois
Series of panels for Horace Mann School, Oak Park, Illinois. *Oil on canvas.*
24 Watercolor study for one panel: Early Schoolroom
25 Watercolor study for one panel: Early Living room
Transportation: *panel for Elgin State Hospital, Chicago. Oil on canvas.*
26 Watercolor study

Philo Carpenter, Chicago’s First Pharmacist; *panel for University of Illinois School of Pharmacy, Chicago. Oil on canvas.*
27 Watercolor study

EDWIN BOYD JOHNSON. Born 1904. Illinois
Medical Pioneers: *series of panels for main library, University of Illinois College of Medicine, Chicago. Fresco.*
28 Photographs

KARL KELPE. Born 1898. Illinois
Pioneer Days: *two panels in Hawthorne School, Oak Park, Illinois. Oil on canvas.*
29 Watercolor study: Early Settlers
30 Watercolor study: Early Farmers
31 Photograph of completed panel: Early Settlers
32 Photograph of completed panel: Early Farmers
MURAL PROJECT

DMITRI KESSEL. Born 1902. New York
Symbols of Aviation: photo-mural for first floor of Administration Building, Newark Airport, New Jersey.
33 Photograph of study

KARL KNATHS. Born 1891. Massachusetts
Music: series of panels in music room of Falmouth High School, Falmouth, Massachusetts. Oil on canvas.
34 Color studies
35 Photographs of completed panels

BENJAMIN KNOTTS. Born 1898. New York
GUY MACCOY. Born 1905.
36 Photographs of completed panel

EDWARD LANING. Born 1906. New York
Rôle of the Immigrant in the Industrial Development of America: seventeen panels in main dining room, Administration Building, Ellis Island. Tempera on canvas.
37 Pencil studies

ABRAHAM LISHINSKY. Born 1905. New York
Major Influences in Civilization: twelve panels in auditorium of Samuel Tilden High School, Brooklyn. Oil on canvas, 820 square feet.
38 Black and white studies
39 Section of cartoon

ERIC MOSE. Born 1906. New York
Power: three panels in main library of Samuel Gompers High School, Bronx. Tempera on gesso, 600 square feet.
40 Model showing interior with murals

HESTER MILLER MURRAY. Born 1903. Illinois
Three panels in Irving School, Oak Park, Illinois. Oil on canvas.
MURAL PROJECT

41 Tempera study for one panel: World of Children
42 Photograph of completed panel: World of Children
43 Watercolor study for one panel: Animals

JAMES MICHAEL NEWELL. Born 1900. New York
Evolution of Western Civilization: five panels in main reading room of library, Evander Childs High School, Bronx. Fresco, 1400 square feet.
44 Photographs of two completed panels
45 Model showing interior with murals

WILLIAM C. PALMER. Born 1906. New York
Development of Medicine: four panels in Incoming and Outgoing Patients' Room, Queens County General Hospital, Long Island City. Oil on canvas, 300 square feet.
46 Full-sized detail, oil on canvas
47 Photograph of one completed panel

ANATOL SHULKIN. Born 1899. New Jersey
Historical and Social Function of the Court: four panels for main entrance lobby of courthouse, Morristown, New Jersey. Fresco or oil on canvas.
48 Color study, tempera

MITCHELL SIPORIN. Born 1910. Illinois
Prairie Poets: proposed fresco
49 Study, egg tempera
Children of American Literature: proposed fresco
50 Study, egg tempera

MAX SPIVAK. Born 1906. New York
Puppets: nine panels in downstairs playroom of Astoria Branch Library, Long Island City. Oil on canvas, 260 square feet.
51 One completed panel, oil on canvas
52 Studies in color and in ink
53 Model showing interior with murals
MURAL PROJECT

ELIZABETH TRACY. Born 1911. Massachusetts

The Settlement of Saugus: panel in courthouse, Saugus, Massachusetts. Oil on canvas.

54 Photograph of completed panel

JOHN WALLEY. Born 1910. Illinois

Indian Drama: stage curtain for auditorium of Lane Technical High School, Chicago.

55 Color study, gouache and charcoal

WILLIAMSBURG FEDERAL HOUSING PROJECT,
Brooklyn, New York.

Series of proposed murals by group of eleven New York artists for social rooms of each housing unit.

56 Chart showing general housing plan, location of social rooms and index of artists

57 Model showing one housing unit with murals by Stuart Davis and Paul Kelpe

ILYA BOLOTOWSKY. Born 1907.

58 Abstraction: color study for panel in oil on canvas, 7 by 17 1/2 feet

HARRY BOWDEN. Born 1907.

59 Abstraction: color study for one of two panels in oil on canvas, 8 by 17 1/2 and 6 by 8 feet

BYRON BROWNE. Born 1906.

60 Abstraction: color study for panel in oil on canvas, 9 1/2 by 15 feet

FRANCIS CRISS. Born 1901.

61 Abstraction: color study for one of four panels in oil on canvas, 11 by 6 feet

STUART DAVIS. Born 1894.

62 Abstraction: color study for panel in oil on canvas, 14 1/2 feet long
MURAL PROJECT

BALCOMB GREENE. Born 1904.
63 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 7 1/2 by 11 3/4 feet*

PAUL KELPE. Born 1902.
64 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 9 1/2 by 11 3/4 feet*

WILLIAM DE KOONING. Born 1904.
65 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 14 1/2 by 9 1/2 feet*

JAN MATULKA. Born 1892.
66 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 8 3/4 by 7 1/2 feet*

GEORGE McNEIL. Born 1909.
67 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 11 by 9 3/4 feet*

ALBERT SWINDEN. Born 1901.
68 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 8 1/4 by 11 1/2 feet*

Models showing interiors with murals in place have been constructed under the Model Division of the Federal Art Project.

EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

AARON BOHROD. Born 1907. Illinois
69 Landscape in Winter, *oil on composition board*

PEDRO CERVANTEZ. Born 1915. New Mexico
70 Croquet Ground, *oil on composition board*

ALLAN ROHAN CRITE. Born 1910. Massachusetts
71 School's Out, *oil on canvas*
EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

STUART DAVIS. Born 1894. New York
   72 Waterfront, oil on canvas

JOSEPH DE MARTINI. Born 1896. New York
   73 Moonlight, oil on canvas

EMMET EDWARDS. Born 1906. New York
   74 Abstraction, oil on canvas

DONALD FORBES. Born 1905. New York
   75 Millstone, oil on canvas

KARL FORTESS. Born 1907. New York
   76 Winter Vista, oil on canvas

LEON GARLAND. Born 1896. Illinois
   77 Fry Street, oil on canvas

HOWARD GIBBS. Born 1904. Massachusetts
   78 Spring Landscape, oil on canvas

LOUIS GUGLIELMI. Born 1906. New York
   79 Wedding in South Street, oil on canvas
   80 Hague Street, oil on canvas

JAMES GUY. Born 1909. New York
   81 Sheriff's Sale, oil on canvas

MARSDEN HARTLEY. Born 1877. New York
   82 Tropic Fantasy, oil on canvas

HILAIRE HILER. Born 1898. California
   83 San Francisco Street, oil on board

LEON KELLY. Born 1901. Pennsylvania
   84 Setting the Table, oil on canvas

GEORGINA KLITGAARD. Born 1893. New York
   85 Oyster Boat, oil on canvas
EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

KARL KNATHS. Born 1891. Massachusetts
  86 Duck Decoy, oil on canvas

LAWRENCE LEBDUSKA. Born 1894. New York
  87 Farm Team, oil on canvas

JULIAN LEVI. Born 1900. New York
  88 Demolished Lighthouse, oil on canvas

JACK LEVINE. Born 1914. Massachusetts
  89 Card Game, oil on composition board
  90 Conference, oil on canvas

WILLIAM LITTLEFIELD. Born 1902. Massachusetts
  91 Fantasy of a Fire and Figure, oil on canvas

LOREN MACIVER. Born 1909. New York
  92 Dune Landscape, oil on canvas

AUSTIN MECKLEM. Born 1894. New York
  93 Skiers, oil on canvas

ROLAND MOUSSEAU. Born 1889. New York
  94 Landscape, oil on canvas

HESTER MILLER MURRAY. Born 1903. Illinois
  95 Buffalo at Night, egg tempera

JOHN NICHOLS. Born 1899. New York
  96 Buzz Saw, oil on canvas

JANE NINAS. Born 1913. Louisiana
  97 Negro Cemetery, oil on canvas

HENRY ALLEN NORD. Born 1904. California
  98 Our Daily Interests, oil on gesso panel

JOSEPH PANDOLFINI. Born 1908. New York
  99 Vegetable Still Life, oil on canvas
EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

IGOR PANTUHOFF. Born 1911. New York
  100 Ventilator, oil on canvas

GREGORIO PRESTOPINO. New York
  101 American Landscape, oil on gesso board
  102 Green Mountain Village, oil on canvas

RED ROBIN. Born 1910. Colorado
  103 Hasjelti Dailjis, sand painting

MISHA REZNIKOFF. Born 1905. New York
  104 New York, oil on composition board

WILLIAM SCHWARTZ. Born 1896. Illinois
  105 Village Square, oil on canvas

CHARLES SEBREE. Born 1912. Illinois
  106 Two Boats, oil on canvas

CLAIRE SILBER. Louisiana
  107 Napoleon Docks, oil on canvas

JOSEPH STELLA. Born 1880. New York
  108 Bridge, oil on canvas

ELIZABETH TERRELL. Born 1908. New York
  109 Still Life on a Footstool, oil on canvas

MANUEL TOLEGIAN. Born 1912. New York
  110 Pennsylvania Landscape, tempera with oil glaze on gesso board

EUGENE TRENTHAM. Born 1912. Colorado
  111 Golden, Colorado, oil on canvas

BUMPEI USUI. Born 1898. New York
  112 Coal Barges, oil on canvas

DOROTHY VARIAN. Born 1895. New York
  113 Portrait of Eugenice, oil on canvas

147
EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

JOSEPH VAVAK. Born 1891. Illinois
114 The Flood, oil on canvas

FREDE VIDAR. Born 1911. New York
115 Pool, oil on canvas

ARNOLD WILTZ. Born 1889. New York
116 Bridge and Dam, oil on canvas

ROBERT WOOLSEY. Born 1913. California
117 Early Morning, oil on canvas

EDGAR YAEGER. Born 1900. Michigan
118 Still Life, oil on canvas

KARL ZERBE. Born 1903. Massachusetts
119 Beacon Hill, oil on canvas

EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

CHARLES BARROWS. Born 1903. New Mexico
120 Snow on the Mountains, watercolor
121 Sunlight on the Rio Grande, watercolor

RAINEY BENNETT. Born 1907. Illinois
122 Garden Entrance, watercolor
123 Storm Threat, watercolor

CAMERON BOOTH. Born 1892. Minnesota
124 Street in Stillwater, gouache
125 The Bridge, gouache

RAYMOND BREININ. Born 1909. Illinois
126 Landscape, gouache
127 Lonesome Farm, gouache

LESTER BRIDAHAM. Born 1899. Massachusetts
128 Men Digging in a Hill, watercolor
EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

BOB BROWN. Born 1895. Minnesota
· 129 Ashes, *watercolor*

SAMUEL J. BROWN. Born 1907. Pennsylvania
· 130 Mrs. Simmons, *watercolor*
131 Child Prodigy, *watercolor*
132 The Writing Lesson, *watercolor*

GLENN CHAMBERLAIN. Born 1914. Iowa
· 133 Landscape, *watercolor*

JOSEPH DE MERS. Born 1910. California
· 134 Post No Bills, *watercolor*
135 House across the Street, *watercolor*
136 Suburb, *watercolor*

HELEN BLACKMUR DICKSON. Born 1906. Massachusetts
· 137 Fisherman's Shack, *watercolor*
138 Landscape, *watercolor*

CARLOS DYER. Born 1906. California
· 139 Palos Verdes Landscape, *watercolor*

STUART EDIE. Born 1908. New York
· 140 Red Table, *tempera*

STANFORD FENELLE. Born 1909. Minnesota
· 141 Road, *gouache*
142 Homing Pigeons in a Storm, *gouache*
143 Farm with Pines, *gouache*

THOMAS FLAVELL. Born 1906. Pennsylvania
· 144 The Station, *pastel*
145 Pennsylvania Farmhouse, *pastel*
146 Factory by the River, *pastel*
EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

ORONZO GASPARO. Born 1903. New York
· 147 Promenade, gouache

ISOLDE THERES GILBERT. Born 1907. Massachusetts
· 148 Millbridge Road, watercolor

ALBERT GOLD. Born 1906. Rhode Island
149 Head, oil on paper

JACK GREITZER. Born 1910. Ohio
· 150 Memory, watercolor

JULIAN LEVI. Born 1900. New York
151 Jersey Shore, watercolor

EDWARD LEWANDOWSKI. Born 1914. Wisconsin
· 152 Lobster Markers, watercolor
153 River Tug, watercolor

RICHARD MERRICK. Born 1903. Florida
154 Barroom, watercolor

ANN MICHALOV. Born 1904. Illinois
· 155 Approaching Storm, watercolor

HESTER MILLER MURRAY. Born 1903. Illinois
156 Pipestone Lake, watercolor

LOUIS NISANOFF. Born 1907. New York
157 Filling Station, oil on paper

GLENN PEARCE. Born 1912. Pennsylvania
158 Winter Idyll, watercolor

ALBERT PEARSON. Born 1911. Illinois
159 Cow Barn, watercolor

GEORGE POST. Born 1906. California
160 Aquatic Park, watercolor
EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

ARNOLD PYLE. Born 1908.
161 The Derelict, watercolor

ANDRÉE REXROTH. Born 1902.
• 162 San Francisco Bay, watercolor
• 163 Night Sky, watercolor

LESTER SCHWARTZ. Born 1912.
• 164 Circus Day, gouache

WILLIAM EARL SINGER. Born 1909.
• 165 Little Immigrant, watercolor

WILLIAM SOMMER. Born 1867.
• 166 Ordering Lunch, watercolor
• 167 The Round Table, watercolor
• 168 Peaches in Glass, watercolor
• 169 Arrangement III, watercolor
• 170 Arrangement IV, watercolor

JOHN STENVALL. Born 1907.
• 171 Ohio River Flood, watercolor
• 172 Street, watercolor

ELINOR STONE. Born 1912.
173 In Hooverville, pastel

FRANCES STRAIN. Born 1898.
174 Winter Landscape, watercolor

RICHARD SUSSMAN. Born 1908.
175 Farm Scene, watercolor

RUFINO TAMAYO. Born 1901.
176 Waiting Woman, watercolor
177 Monday, watercolor

Iowa
California
Illinois
Ohio
Illinois
California
Illinois
New York
New York
EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

ELIZABETH TERRELL. Born 1908. New York
178 Red Still Life, tempera
179 Fruit, tempera

DAVID VAN RAALTE. Born 1909. New York
180 Coal Yard, watercolor

JOSEPH VAVAK. Born 1891. Illinois
181 Dust Storm, watercolor
182 The Dispossessed: Contemporary History, watercolor
183 Winter Scene, watercolor

FREDE VIDAR. Born 1911. New York
184 Washington Square, gouache

JOHN WALLEY. Born 1910. Illinois
185 Start of the Wild Horse Race, watercolor

KARL ZERBE. Born 1903. Massachusetts
186 Houses on the River, gouache
187 Winter Morning on the Square, gouache

GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

MAXINE ALBRO. Born 1900. California
188 American Indian Pottery, colored lithograph

GIUSEPPE AMATO. Born 1863. Illinois
189 From My Window, pencil drawing

RALPH AUSTIN. Born 1912. California
190 Barbary Coast, lithograph

CHARLES BARROWS. Born 1903. New Mexico
191 Chimayo Church, oil smudge

F. G. BECKER. Born 1913. New York
192 John Henry's Hand, wood engraving
GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

JOLAN GROSS BETTELHEIM. Born 1902. Ohio
  193 "Unemployed" Office, lithograph
  194 Factory Houses, lithograph

ARNOLD BLANCH. Born 1896. New York
  195 The Cornfield, lithograph

JULIUS BLOCH. Born 1888. Pennsylvania
  196 Dead Soldier, lithograph
  197 "Ole Man", charcoal drawing

REDMOND BYRON. Born 1890. California
  198 Union Square, San Francisco, lithograph

GEORGE CONSTANT. Born 1892. New York
  199 George Washington Bridge, drypoint

HUBERT DAVIS. Born 1902. New York
  200 Trees at Night, lithograph
  201 Desplaines River, lithograph

MABEL DWIGHT. Born 1876. New York
  202 Museum Guard, lithograph

HORATIO C. FORJOHN. Born 1911. Pennsylvania
  203 Confusion at 40, air brush
  204 Traffic Control, air brush
  205 Stratosphere Flight, air brush
  206 Idle Governor, air brush

EMIL GANSO. Born 1895. New York
  207 Still Life, wood engraving

CHARLES R. GARDNER. Born 1901. Pennsylvania
  208 Mixer, Paper Mill, wood engraving
  209 Paper Making, wood engraving
GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

HARRY GOTTLIEB. Born 1895. New York
   210 Three-lane Traffic, lithograph

BLANCHE GRAMBS. Born 1916. New York
   211 Dock Scene, East River, lithograph

JOHN W. GREGORY. Born 1903. Massachusetts
   212 Night in Provincetown, wood engraving

NILS GREN. Born 1893. California
   213 Silent Men, lithograph

JOHN P. HEINS. Born 1896. New York
   214 Flowers, linoleum cut

RICHARD HOOD. Born 1910. Pennsylvania
   215 Gossip, etching

ELI JACOBI. Born 1898. New York
   216 All Night Mission, linoleum cut
   217 Bar and Grill, linoleum cut

GENE KLOSS. Born 1903. New Mexico
   218 Rio Grande Pueblo, etching

YASUO KUNIYOSHI. Born 1893. New York
   219 Landscape, pencil drawing

LAWRENCE KUPFERMAN. Born 1909. Massachusetts
   220 Beacon Hill Mansion, etching

LUCIEN LABAUDT. Born 1880. California
   221 False Dimension, lithograph

BLANCHE LAZZELL. Born 1878. Massachusetts
   222 My Wharf Studio, color woodcut

CHARLES LOCKE. Born 1899. New York
   223 In the Park, etching and engraving
GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

NAN LURIE. Born 1910. New York
224 Women’s House of Detention, lithograph

KYRA MARKHAM. Born 1891. New York
225 The Flies at Minsky’s, lithograph

JAMES MARSHALL. Born 1906. Utah
226 Evil Eye, lithograph

HUGH MILLER. Born 1911. New York
227 Head, lithograph
228 Machinery, lithograph

ARTHUR MURPHY. Born 1906. California
229 Horses, California, lithograph

M. LOIS MURPHY. Born 1901. New York
230 Fish Day, woodcut

CHARLES E. PONT. Born 1898. New York
231 Burning of the Oquendo, 1898, wood engraving

ANTON REFREGIER. Born 1905. New York
232 Mine Accident, linoleum cut

DOROTHY RUTKA. Born 1907. Ohio
233 Conference, aquatint

RAYMOND SKOLFIELD. Born 1909. New York
234 New York Harbor, lithograph

RAPHAEL SOYER. Born 1899. New York
235 Back Stage, etching

HARRY STERNBERG. Born 1904. New York
236 Night Flight, aquatint

WILLIAM H. TRAHER. Born 1911. Colorado
237 Early Irrigation Methods, Colorado, charcoal study for color lithograph
GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

JOSEPH VOGEL. Born 1911. New York
238 Pier, *lithograph*

JULIUS WEISS. Born 1912. New York
239 Windows, *scratchboard*
240 Scene in the Park, *scratchboard*

SCULPTURE PROJECT

PATROCINO BARELA. Born 1908. New Mexico
Wood carvings, native pine:
241 The Coronation of the Virgin
242 The Ten Commandments
243 God the Father
244 The Twelve Apostles
245 Holy Family
246 Santo Nino
247 Hope or the Four Stages of Man
248 Heavy Thinker

SAMUEL CASHWAN. Born 1900. Michigan
249 Reclining Nude, *stone*

EUGENIE GERSHOY. Born 1902. New York
250 Puppet: *figure for downstairs playroom of Astoria Branch Library, Long Island City.* Painted plaster

AARON GOODELMAN. Born 1890. New York
251 Homeless, *plaster*

JOSE RUIZ DE RIVERA. Born 1904. New York
252 Bird Form: *carved metal model for monument for Newark Airport, New Jersey*
253 Abstraction: *plaster model for stone sculpture for Williamsburg Federal Housing Project, Brooklyn*
SCULPTURE PROJECT

HUGO ROBUS. Born 1885. New York

· 254 Dog: plaster model for cast cement sculpture for children's playground at First Houses, New York City Housing Project

FINGAL ROSENQUIST. Born 1901. Pennsylvania

255 Mother and Child, cast stone

CONCETTA SCARAVAGLIONE. Born 1900. New York

· 256 Girl with Fawn, plaster
257 Girl Reading, terra cotta. Designed for Evander Childs High School, Bronx

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

CALIFORNIA

Drawings by Ann Buckley

· 258 Embroidered velvet dancing shoe, c. 1840
259 Embroidery, c. 1845

Drawings by Gordena Jackson

260 Iron hitching post, c. 1869
261 Wool floral wreath, c. 1876

Drawings by Bertha Semple

262 Brass powder flask, c. 1855
263-264 Gold-mounted hair jewelry, c. 1840

Drawings by Gerald Transpota

· 265 Spanish-Californian spur, c. 1852
266 Spanish-Californian spur, c. 1875
267 Leather cover for baptismal font, San Buenaventura Mission, c. 1820

Drawing by Lyman Young

268 Embroidered leather scabbard, c. 1831

COLORADO

Drawings by Maude Fiorentino-Vallé

269-271 Three Spanish-Colonial santos retablos, tempera on gesso panels
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ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

CONNECTICUT  Drawings by Lawrence Flynn
272  Guilford oak chest, c. 1750
273-274  Hitchcock chair, c. 1835, and design on back
                   Drawing by Jerome Hoxie
275  Pottery butter crock, c. 1842
                   Drawing by John Matulis
276  Pottery drinking cup, c. 1850
                   Drawing by Martin Partyka
277  Hadley oak chest, c. 1682
                   Drawing by Fred Weiss
278  Stoneware vase, c. 1791

DELWARE  Drawing by James M. Lawson
279  Silver bird sewing-holder, c. 1850
                   Drawing by Ernest Towers, Jr.
280  Mahogany grandfather’s clock, c. 1755
                   Drawings by Gould White
281-282  Two quilted appliqué coverlets, c. 1850

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  Drawing by Mabel Ritter
283  Beaded purse, early 19th century
                   Drawings by E. Josephine Sterling
284  Painted bellows, c. 1815
285  Needlepoint suspenders, c. 1850
286  Sampler, Alexandria, Virginia, c. 1733

ILLINOIS  Drawing by Harry G. Aberdeen
287  Gold and steel watch case, c. 1870
                   Drawings by Wellington Blewitt
288  Walnut chair from Virginia plantation, 1750-75
289  Inlaid panel from Pullman car, c. 1865
ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

290  Lancaster glass flask, c. 1860
     Drawing by Roberta Elvis

291  Sandwich glass candlestick, c. 1840
     Drawing by James Vail

292  Iron rooster, c. 1850

LOUISIANA
     Drawings by Ray Price

293-295  Three examples of wrought and cast iron, 19th century
     Drawing by L. A. Verbeke

296  Wrought and cast iron balcony rail, c. 1838

MARYLAND
     Drawings by Lillian Causey

297  Quilted satin petticoat, early 19th century

298  Man’s silk waistcoat, c. 1790

MASSACHUSETTS
     Drawing by Lucille Chabat

299  Shaker textile, c. 1850
     Drawing by Suzanne Chapman

300  Crewel-embroidered chair-seat, 17th century
     Drawing by George Constantine

301  Shaker textile, early 19th century
     Drawings by Larry Foster

302-305  Four examples of Shaker furniture, 1810-76
     Drawings by Anne Ger

306-311  Six examples of Shaker furniture, 1790-1850
     Drawing by Lucille Gilchrist

312  Shaker textile, 1825-50
     Drawing by Helen Gilman

313  Part of crewel-work valance, 17th century
     Drawing by Joseph Goldberg

314  Shaker textile, early 19th century
ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

Drawings by Elizabeth Moutal

- 315 Crewel-embroidered chair-seat, 17th century
- 316 Crewel embroidery, 18th century
- 317-321 Five Shaker textiles, 1800-75
- 322 Shaker glove

Drawing by Lawrence Peterson

- 323 Crewel-embroidered valance, 18th century

Drawing by I. Selmer-Larsen

- 324 Shaker textile

Drawings by Alfred H. Smith

- 325-328 Four examples of Shaker furniture, 1790-1880

- 329-330 Two examples of Shaker furniture, 1840-60

MICHIGAN

Drawing by Beverly Chichester

- 331 Mold-blown glass bottle, 1820-60

Drawing by Eugene Croe

- 332 Cigar store figure of soldier, late 19th century

Drawing by Vincent McPharlin

- 333 Mold-blown glass flask, Philadelphia, 1800-30

NEW JERSEY

Drawing by Francis Law Durand

- 334 Toy wagon, c. 1840

Drawings by Thomas Halloway

- 335-338 Lady’s dressing case, c. 1850, and three detail views

NEW MEXICO

Drawings by E. Boyd

- 339 Bulto, Taos, 1810-50
- 340 Bulto, near Santa Fe, 1810-50
- 341 Painted wooden figure of Christ in sepulchre carried in Holy Week processions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Artist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>Lunette of reredos showing creation of world, from church at Chimayo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>Church wall hanging of buffalo hide painted with figure of San Juan Nepomucene. Before 1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344</td>
<td>Painted pine chest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345-346</td>
<td>Two painted pine chests, Rio Grande Valley, 1810-20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NEW YORK</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347</td>
<td>Queen Anne mahogany lowboy, c. 1730</td>
<td>Louis Annino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348</td>
<td>Man’s velvet court suit, 18th century</td>
<td>Jessie Benge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>349</td>
<td>Iron deer weather-vane</td>
<td>R. Campbell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>Child’s kilt suit, c. 1860</td>
<td>Margaret Concha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351</td>
<td>Mahogany banjo clock, c. 1800</td>
<td>Nicholas Gorid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>352-353</td>
<td>Two costumes, c. 1800 and c. 1842</td>
<td>Melita Hoffman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>354</td>
<td>Woven wool coverlet, 1846</td>
<td>Dorothy Lacey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355</td>
<td>Stoneware flask, c. 1800</td>
<td>Yolande de Lasser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>356</td>
<td>Patchwork crib quilt</td>
<td>J. Z. Lefevre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>Cornhusk doll</td>
<td>Nina Lowry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>358</td>
<td>Brocade wedding gown, c. 1754</td>
<td>Marie Mitchell</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

Drawing by William P. Shearwood
359 Gold and black enamel bracelets, 19th century

Drawing by J. Staloff
360 Brass warming pan, 18th century

Drawing by J. Tarantino
361 Hand-blown glass pitcher, New Hampshire, c. 1860

Photographs by Noel Vicentini
362 Photographs taken at Shaker communities of New Lebanon, N. Y., and Hancock, Mass.

Drawings by R. W. Woiceske
363 Pewter lamp, c. 1850
364 Glass candlestick, c. 1850

PENNSYLVANIA

365 Pennsylvania German painted wood chest, 1775

Drawings by William Antrim
366-367 Two Pennsylvania German pottery plates, c. 1800

Photographs by Louis Dibert
368-369 Two Pennsylvania German iron utensils, late 19th century

Drawings by Albert Levone
370-372 Three examples of Pennsylvania German pottery, 19th century

RHODE ISLAND

373 Walnut sofa, c. 1815

Drawing by McCarthy and Donovan
374 Pine armchair, c. 1795

Drawing by Albert Gold
375 Mahogany hall clock
ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: PHOTOGRAPHY

BERENICE ABBOTT. Born 1898. New York
376-381 Changing New York: six photographs from a series

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: POSTERS

HERMANN KESSLER AND ANTHONY VELONIS. New York
382 Macbeth: poster for W.P.A. Federal Theatre Negro Unit

ALFRED KOEHN. Born 1874. Illinois
383 Safety Campaign poster

KATHERINE MILHOUS. Born 1894. Pennsylvania
384 Ephrata: tempera design for poster
385 Rural Pennsylvania: tempera design for poster
386 Visit Pennsylvania: tempera design for poster
387 Pennsylvania: tempera design for poster

HESTER MILLER MURRAY. Born 1903. Illinois
388 Lioness and Cubs: watercolor design for poster for Brookfield Zoo

EREL OSBORN. Born 1897. Illinois
389 Broken Dishes: poster for Federal Theatre Production
390 Colored Concert Orchestra: poster for Federal Music Project

THEODORE WEST. Born 1897. Illinois
391 Concert Band: poster for Federal Music Project

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN’S PAINTINGS

MICK ARSENA: Federation Settlement, New York. Aged 16
392 Politics under the “El”, gouache
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EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN’S PAINTINGS

NAOMI BAKER: Bronx House, New York. Aged 8
393 Hockey, *gouache*

VERA BAKER: Bronx House, New York. Aged 8
394 Yentas, *gouache*

ALPHONSO BASILE: Friendship House, Washington, D. C. Aged 13
395 Robinson Crusoe as a Young Man, *oil on paper*

BERIO BENEYENTO: Y.M.H.A., 110th St., New York. Aged 10
396 Circus Parade, *watercolor*

THOMAS BOLLELA: Catholic Boys’ Club, Bronx, New York. Aged 12
397 Ten Nights in a Barroom, *watercolor*

A. BORROSICO: Grace Chapel, New York. Aged 12
398 Fruit, *gouache*

KEITH BURTON: Kalamazoo Art Institute, Kalamazoo, Michigan. Aged 10
399 Speed, *gouache*

ALFREDO CASALE: Jefferson Park Boys’ Club, New York. Aged 10
400 Interior, *gouache*
401 Waterfront, *gouache*

GEORGE COONEY: West Side Boys’ Club, New York. Aged 15
402 Shoppers, *gouache*

VERNON COFFIN: Avery Memorial, Hartford, Connecticut. Aged 12
403 Still Life, *watercolor*

ALFRED CROWLEY: Gramercy Boys’ Club, New York. Aged 11
404 D. S. C., *oil on paper*

JAMES DOYLE: Boys’ Welcome Home, New York. Aged 12
405 Motor Boat Race, *gouache*

P. DUBLINSKY: Educational Alliance, New York. Aged 10
406 A Bowl of Fruit, *gouache*
EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S PAINTINGS

ISAIAH EISEN: Council House, New York. Aged 12
- 407 Boiler Menders, gouache
- 408 Second Avenue “El”, gouache

REBECCA FELDMAN: Bronx House, New York. Aged 10
- 409 Homework, gouache

YON FOOK: School of Americanization, Washington, D. C. Aged 13
- 410 Sea and Rocks, watercolor

JOE GANELLO: Jefferson Park Boys' Club, New York. Aged 14
- 411 The Dark, gouache

CLARENCE GREY: Abyssinian Baptist Church, New York. Aged 12
- 412 Raw Vegetables, gouache

DOROTHY HARDIN: Norwegian Children's Home, New York. Aged 10
- 413 Our Finny Friends, gouache

MARIE KLEPPE: Norwegian Children's Home, New York. Aged 11
- 414 Play, watercolor

JOAN KNOBE: Sunnyside Jewish Center, New York. Aged 15
- 415 Jewish Wedding, gouache

SEBASTIAN LANOTTE: Hudson Guild, New York. Aged 9
- 416 Deep Sea Diver, gouache

JOE LARKIN: Avery Memorial, Hartford, Connecticut. Aged 12
- 417 The Nativity, pencil drawing

DONALD LIGUORE: Boys' Welcome Home, New York. Aged 10
- 418 Going to Town, watercolor

- 419 A Fairy Story, gouache

LOUIS NOVAR: Greenwich House, New York. Aged 14
- 420 The Butcher, oil on paper
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EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S PAINTINGS

RHODA RICH: East Side Jewish Settlement, New York. Aged 12

421 Dressmakers, *gouache*

F. RICK: East Midwood Jewish Center, New York. Aged 10

• 422 Passover Feast, *gouache*

HELEN RIMLAND: Bronx House, New York. Aged 11

423 Household Duties, *gouache*

LENA SAFER: East Side Jewish Settlement, New York. Aged 12

424 Flying Trapeze, *gouache*

ROBERT SHUBERT: Gramercy Boys' Club, New York. Aged 11

425 Our Street, *watercolor*

FREDERICK SMITH: Boys' Welcome Home, New York. Aged 10

426 Banana Split, *gouache*

DOLORES WRIGHT: Utopia Children's Home, New York. Aged 6

427 In the Street, *gouache*

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S SCULPTURE

SAM BONAMICO: Henry Street Settlement, New York. Aged 11

428 Circus Elephant, *wood carving*

ANTONY BUA: Gramercy Boys' Club, New York. Aged 13

429 Clown, *plaster*

HYMAN DORFMAN: Henry Street Settlement, New York. Aged 14

• 430 Mother and Child, *wood carving*

ANTONY DE PAOLO: Gramercy Boys' Club, New York. Aged 11

431 Buffalo, *stone carving*


432 Man and Wife, *plaster*
EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S SCULPTURE

TONY MADONIA: Henry Street Settlement, New York. Aged 13
  Chinaman, wood carving

MIKE MOSCO: University Settlement, New York. Aged 15
  Miner, plaster

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: THE DESIGN LABORATORY

Photographs of workshop activity
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<td>145</td>
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<td>Britton, Edgar</td>
<td>138</td>
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<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks, James</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>Gold, Albert</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Bob</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>Goodelman, Aaron</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Samuel J.</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>Gorky, Arshile</td>
<td>139-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne, Byron</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>Gottlieb, Harry</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byron, Redmond</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>Grambs, Blanche</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cashwan, Samuel</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Greene, Balcomb</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervantez, Pedro</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>Gregory, John</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamberlain, Glenn</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>Greitzer, Jack</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant, George</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>Gren, Nils</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimi, Alfred</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Guglielmi, Louis</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criss, Francis</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>Guy, James</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crite, Allan Rohan</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>Hartley, Marsden</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Hubert</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>Heins, John P.</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Stuart</td>
<td>143, 145</td>
<td>Henricksen, Ralf</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Wyatt</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Hiler, Hilaire</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Martini, Joseph</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Hood, Richard</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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